

APPENDIX C

Cultural Resource Inventory Report 2023 Update



March 21, 2023

Danny Simon
Pacific First Capital Group
21031 Warner Center Lane, Suite B
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
dsimon@pacificfirst.net


RE: *Update to the 2019 Cultural Resources Investigation for the MV Cactus 9 Cactus and Nason Project in Riverside County, California*

Greetings,

At your request, ECORP Consulting, Inc. conducted an update to the 2019 cultural resources investigation for MV Cactus 9 Cactus and Nason Project in the City of Moreno Valley. For this update, ECORP conducted a field visit to check for any changes in ground conditions since the 2019 survey, requested an updated Sacred Lands File search with the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), and submitted a records search request of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) at the Eastern Information Center (EIC) located at University of California, Riverside.

The purpose of the records search update was to identify the locations and extent of previous surveys conducted within 1 mile of the Project Area since 2019 and to determine if any additional cultural resources (i.e., pre-contact [prehistoric] or historic archaeological sites or historic-period features) have been recorded in or near the Project Area since the 2019 investigation, which may inform the sensitivity of the Project Area to yield archaeological sites during ground disturbing activities. At the time of this writing, ECORP is still awaiting the results of the CHRIS records search from the EIC, which ECORP requested on December 22, 2022. Therefore, for the purpose of this update, ECORP will rely on the records search results from the 2019 investigation. These results are still considered current under existing professional standards as these results were received less than 5 years ago. ECORP will forward a summary of any substantial change in the number of studies and/or recorded results within the Project vicinity to the Client upon receiving the CHRIS records search results from the EIC.

Figure 1 shows the boundaries of the area subject to the EIC records search request. The purpose of this report is to provide information to the agencies regarding the efforts to date on identifying cultural resources within the Proposed Project Area. The purpose of the field check was to identify any cultural resources that may have been missed during previous investigations and to determine if ground conditions have significantly changed since the 2019 pedestrian survey. ECORP has prepared this cultural resources technical memorandum with documentation of the methods of the field visit, the CHRIS records search request, and the Sacred Lands File search.



 Project Area - 8.51 Acres

Riverside County, California
 §#15, T.#03S, R.#03W, SBBM
 Latitude (NAD83): 33.911173°
 Longitude (NAD83): -117.190368°
 Watershed: San Jacinto (#18070202)

 Scale in Feet
 0 1,000 2,000
 Sunnymead, CA (1978)
 CA 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle
 US Geological Survey

Location: N:\2019\2019-146 Tech Studies for Cactus\MAPS\Location_Vicinity\Cactus_LNV_v1.mxd (7/21/2022)

Map Date: 11/15/2022
 Sources: ESRI

Figure 1. Project Location and Vicinity

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS

The Client proposes to construct a commercial development on an 8.51-acre parcel in the City of Moreno Valley. The Project Area is located northeast of the intersection of Nason Street and Cactus Avenue, at an elevation of approximately 1,550 feet above mean sea level. As shown on the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute, Sunnymead, California topographic quadrangle map, 1978, the Project Area lies within Section 15, Township 3 South, Range 3 West, of the San Bernardino Base and Meridian (Figure 1).

The Project Area or Area of Potential Effects (APE) consists of the horizontal and vertical limits of a project and includes the area within which significant impacts or adverse effects to Historical Resources or Historic Properties could occur as a result of the project. The APE is defined for projects subject to regulations implementing Section 106 (federal law and regulations). For projects subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review, the term Project Area is used rather than APE. The terms Project Area and APE are used interchangeably in this document.

The horizontal APE consists of all areas where activities associated with the Project are proposed and, in the case of this Project, equals the APE subject to environmental review. This includes areas proposed for construction, placement of fill material, staging, and other elements described in the official Project description. The horizontal APE consists of 8.4 acres (Figure 1).

The vertical APE is described as the maximum depth below the surface to which excavations for Project infrastructure for residential development is proposed to occur. Therefore, the vertical APE includes all subsurface areas where archaeological deposits could be affected. Ground disturbance of up to 12 feet below the surface may be necessary to install infrastructure on the property.

The vertical APE is also described as the maximum height of infrastructure and buildings that could impact the physical integrity and integrity of setting of cultural resources, including districts, historic viewsheds, and traditional cultural properties. For this Project, the above-surface vertical APE is up to 50 feet above the surface, the maximum height of new buildings and structures.

REGULATORY CONTEXT

This cultural resources investigation update was conducted to contribute to the provisions for the identification of cultural resources contained within Section 106 of the NHPA (54 U.S. Code [USC] Section 300101) and its regulations (36 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 800), and in CEQA (Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 21000 et seq.) to meet the regulatory requirements of this Project. The goal of National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and CEQA is to develop and maintain a high-quality environment that serves to identify the significant environmental effects of the actions of a proposed project and to either avoid or mitigate those significant effects where feasible. The NHPA pertains to projects that entail some degree of federal funding or permit approval. CEQA pertains to all proposed projects that require state or local government agency discretionary approval, including the enactment of zoning ordinances, the issuance of conditional use permits, and the approval of development project maps. No CEQA review is required for this Project.

The NHPA and CEQA (Title 54 U.S. Code [USC] Section 100101 et seq. and Title 14, California Code of Regulations [CCR], Article 5, § 15064.5) apply to cultural resources of the historical and pre-contact (prehistoric) periods. Any project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a cultural resource, either directly or indirectly, is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment. As a result, such a project would require avoidance or mitigation of impacts to those affected resources. Significant cultural resources must meet at least one of four criteria that define eligibility for listing on either the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP; 36 CFR 60.4) or the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR; PRC § 5024.1, Title 14 CCR, § 4852). Cultural resources eligible for listing on the NRHP are considered Historic Properties under 36 CFR Part 800 and are automatically eligible for the CRHR. Resources listed on or eligible for inclusion in the CRHR are considered Historical Resources under CEQA.

Sections 6253, 6254, and 6254.10 of the California Code authorize state agencies to exclude archaeological site information from public disclosure under the Public Records Act. In addition, the California Public Records Act (Government Code § 6250 et seq.) and California's open meeting laws (The Brown Act, Government Code § 54950 et seq.) protect the confidentiality of Native American cultural place information. Because the disclosure of information about the location of cultural resources is prohibited by the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 (16 USC 470hh) and Section 307103 of the NHPA, it is exempted from disclosure under Exemption 3 of the federal Freedom of Information Act (5 USC § 552). Likewise, the Information Centers of the CHRIS maintained by the Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) prohibit public dissemination of records search information.

RECORDS SEARCH METHODS

ECORP staff requested a cultural resources records search update from the EIC on December 22, 2022 (Appendix A). As of the time of this writing, ECORP is still awaiting these results. The records search from the 2019 investigation is less than five years old, and is still considered current under existing professional standards. Therefore, for the purpose of this update, ECORP will rely upon the CHRIS records search results from the 2019 investigation, summarized herein.

In addition to the record search, ECORP contacted the California NAHC on December 22, 2022 to request a search of the Sacred Lands File (Appendix B). This search will determine if Sacred Lands have been recorded by California Native American tribes within the APE since 2019, because the Sacred Lands File is populated by members of the Native American community with knowledge of the locations of Tribal resources. In requesting a search of the Sacred Lands File, ECORP solicited information from the Native American community regarding Tribal cultural resources, but the responsibility to formally consult with the Native American community lies exclusively with the federal and local agencies under applicable state and federal law. ECORP was not delegated authority by the lead agencies to conduct tribal consultation.

RECORDS SEARCH RESULTS

Previous Research

The records search performed in July 2019 indicated that the Project Area had not been previously surveyed for cultural resources. The records search also indicated that 28 cultural resources investigations had been conducted within a 1-mile radius of the Project Area between 1978 and 2018 (ECORP 2019). Details of all 28 investigations are presented in Table 1.

Report Number	Author(s)	Report Title	Year	Includes Portion of the APE?
00414	Holcomb, Thomas	Environmental Impact Evaluation: Archaeological Assessment of Two Portions of Land in Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	1978	No
00742	Wilke, Philip J.	Environmental Impact Evaluation: An Archaeological Assessment of 17.64 Acres Considered for Change of Zone (CZ 2707), Southeast of Sunnymead, Riverside County, California	1979	No
01843	Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc.	Cultural Resource Survey Report on Wolfskill Ranch	1984	No
01979	Mack, Joanne M. and G.A. Clopine	Archaeological Assessment of Assessor's Parcel # 483-340-005 and 009, Vicinity of Oliver Street and Alessandro Blvd., Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	1986	No
02049	McCarthy, Daniel F.	An Archaeological Assessment of 27 Acres of Land Located in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	1986	No
02105	Drover, C.E.	An Archaeological Assessment of the A.L.T.A. Specific Plan, Moreno Valley, California	1987	No
02160	Drover, C.E.	Letter Report: Archaeological Evaluation of Potential Hospital Site in Moreno Valley	1987	No
02171	McCarthy, Daniel F.	Cultural Resources Inventory for the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	1987	No
02172	Drover, Christopher E.	Environmental Impact Evaluation: Highway 60 Corridor Study, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	1990	No
04397	McCarthy, Daniel F.	Archaeological Survey of Parcel Map 29700, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2000	No

Table 1. Previous Cultural Studies In or Within 1 Mile of the Project Area

Report Number	Author(s)	Report Title	Year	Includes Portion of the APE?
05288	White, Laurie	Letter Report: Records Search Results for Sprint PCS Facility RV35XC093D (Golf Course Maintenance), City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, CA	2000	No
06644	Allred, Carla	Letter Report: Proposed Cellular Tower Project(s) in Riverside County, California, Site Number(s)/ Name(s): CA-8393B/ Ashley TCNS# 16652	2006	No
06754	Robbins-Wade, Mary	Cultural Resources Inventory, Lowe's Moreno Valley Project, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2006	No
06886	Tetra Tech, Inc.	An Archaeological Survey of Approximately 20 Acres (AP 477-180-012 and -013) for the Tentative Tract 34397 Moreno Valley Project Located Southeast of Cottonwood Avenue and Nason Street, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California 92555	2006	No
07333	Bonner, Wayne H. and Marnie Aislin-Kay	Letter Report: Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for T-Mobile Candidate IE 24092C, (14375 Nason Street) 14375 Nason Street, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2006	No
08154	Bonner, Wayne and Marnie Aislin-Kay	Letter Report: Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for Royal Street Communications Candidate	2008	No
08266	Bray, Madeleine	Negative Survey of Approximately 25 Acres for the Riverside County Regional Medical Center Expansion Project, City of Moreno Valley, County of Riverside, California	2009	No
08358	Encarnacion, Deidre and Daniel Ballester	Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties: Moreno Valley Medical Village Project, Assessor's Parcel Nos. 486-290-001 and -002, City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2010	No
08802	Tang, Bai "Tom", Michael Hogan, Dierdre Encarnacion, and Daniel Ballester	Phase I archaeological Assessment: Moreno Master Drainage Plan Revision	2012	No
08944	Tang, Bai "Tom" and Michael Hogan	Historical/Archeological Resources Survey Report, Assessor's Parcel No. 486-280-043, City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2013	No

Table 1. Previous Cultural Studies In or Within 1 Mile of the Project Area				
Report Number	Author(s)	Report Title	Year	Includes Portion of the APE?
08945	Tang, Bai "Tom" and Michael Hogan	Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Report, Desilting Basin Site, Boulder Ridge Family Apartments Project, City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California	2013	No
09209	Greenberg, Gregory P.	Cultural Resources Survey: I CARE/ CLV5965, 14315 Nason Street, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California 92557	2014	No
09510	Tang, Bai "Tom"	Update to Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Assessor's Parcel No. 486-280-043 (Rocas Grandes Project) City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California CRM TECH Contract No. 2980	2015	No
09652	Puckett, Heather R.	Cultural Resources Summary for the Proposed Verizon Wireless, Inc., Property Site, 27905 John F Kennedy Drive, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California 92555	2014	No
10238	Chandler, Sandy	Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Mainstreet Skilled Nursing Facility Project, Moreno Valley, California	2016	No
10466	Lindgren, Kristina	Cultural Resources Investigation Moreno MDP Line H-2 Project Area in the City of Moreno Valley	2018	No
10485	Blumel, Wendy	Cultural Resources Monitoring Report Cottonwood Interim Basin	2018	No
10497	Blumel, Wendy and Andrew Myers	Cultural Resources Investigation of The One-Acre Cottonwood Basin Project in the City of Moreno Valley	2017	No

The results of the 2019 CHRIS records search also determined that 15 previously identified resources are recorded within 1 mile of the Project Area. These 15 resources consist of 10 pre-contact Native American resources and five historic-period resources. None of these resources are located within the APE. The 10 pre-contact resources are all Native American bedrock milling sites. Historic-period resources consist of two road segments, a residence and office, a cistern, and an irrigation feature (ECORP 2019). Details of all 15 resources are provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Previously Recorded Resources In or Within 1 Mile of the Project Area (2019)

Site Number CA-RIV-	Primary Number P-33-	Recorder and Year	Age/ Period	Site Description	Within Project Area?
857	000857	R. Weaver (1975); C. Prior, M. Conroy, B. Neiditch (1987); D. Ballester and D. Perez (2013)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3089	003089	C.E. Drover (1986)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3135	003135	D.F. McCarthy	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3159	003159	C. Prior, M. Conroy, B. Neiditch (1987); D. Ballester and D. Perez (2013); D. Ballester (2015);	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3224	003224	D. Pinto (1987)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3233	003233	D. Pinto (1987)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3234	003234	D. Pinto (1987)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3235	003235	D. Pinto (1987)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3249	003249	K. Swope (1987)	Historic	Cistern	No
3341	003341	C. Prior, M. Conroy, B. Neiditch (1987); D. Ballester, D. Perez (2013)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling features	No
3342	003342	B. Neiditch (1987); D. Ballester, D. Perez (2013)	Pre-Contact	Bedrock milling feature	No
N/A	007281	J. Warner (1983)	Historic	Dr. Atwood's office and home	No
N/A	019919	C. Cotterman (2010)	Historic	Irrigation feature and capped well	No
N/A	028580	K. Lindgren (2017)	Historic	Alessandro Road (segment)	No
N/A	028581	K. Lindgren (2017)	Historic	Oliver Street (segment)	No

Native American Coordination

ECORP received the results of the Sacred Lands File search from the NAHC on January 10, 2023. A search of the Sacred Lands File by the NAHC failed to indicate the presence of Native American sacred lands in the Project Area. Correspondence between the NAHC and ECORP is included in Appendix B.

FIELD VISIT METHODS

ECORP archaeologist Steve Wintergerst conducted a field visit to the 8.51-acre APE on February 16, 2023. During the 2019 survey, approximately 40 percent of the center of the Project Area contained dense vegetation and ground visibility in this area was poor. The ECORP archaeologist noted during the 2023 site check that vegetation in this area had been cleared. Therefore, the ECORP archaeologist conducted a surface survey of this central portion.

The ground surface was examined for indications of surface or subsurface cultural resources. Mr. Wintergerst inspected the general morphological characteristics of the ground surface for indications of subsurface deposits that may be manifested on the surface, such as circular depressions or ditches. Whenever possible, the archaeologist examined the locations of subsurface exposures caused by such factors as animal activity, water or soil erosion, or vegetation disturbances for artifacts or for indications of buried deposits. ECORP undertook no subsurface investigations or artifact collections during the pedestrian survey.

FIELD SURVEY RESULTS

As a result of the field visit, the ECORP archaeologist determined that ground conditions were unchanged in approximately 60 percent of the APE, and that ground visibility had improved in a rectangular area within the APE that constitutes approximately 40 percent of the Project Area. ECORP conducted a survey of this central area and observed that the surveyed area has been heavily disturbed with evidence of grading, repeated tilling, and mowing throughout and observed that modern paper and plastic trash had blown in at the southern and western end of the surveyed area. ECORP identified no archaeological resources as a result of the field visit.

SUMMARY AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

ECORP conducted an update to the 2019 cultural resources investigation for the APE that included an updated search of the Sacred Lands File, a field visit of the APE, and a request for an updated CHRIS records search by the EIC. The search of the Sacred Lands File by the NAHC was negative and did not indicate the presence of any previously recorded sacred lands or Native American cultural resources in the APE. ECORP relied on the CHRIS records search results from the 2019 study due to delays with the EIC. The results of the CHRIS records search from 2019 identified no previously recorded resources within the APE. ECORP will forward a summary of any substantial change in the number of studies and/or recorded results within the Project vicinity to the Client upon receiving the updated CHRIS records search results from the EIC.

The entire Project Area is currently intended to be developed for use as a commercial property. Development operations and maintenance activities could still damage unrecorded resources. Both CEQA and Section 106 of the NHPA require the lead agency to address any unanticipated cultural resources discoveries during Project construction. Therefore, ECORP recommends the lead agency adopt and implement the following mitigation measures to reduce potential adverse impacts to less than significant:

- If subsurface deposits believed to be cultural or human in origin are discovered during construction, all work must halt within a 100-foot radius of the discovery. A qualified professional archaeologist, meeting the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards for prehistoric and historic archaeology, shall be retained to evaluate the significance of the find, and shall have the authority to modify the no-work radius as appropriate, using professional judgment. The following notifications shall apply, depending on the nature of the find:
 - If the professional archaeologist determines that the find does not represent a cultural resource, work may resume immediately and no agency notifications are required.
 - If the professional archaeologist determines that the find does represent a cultural resource from any time period or cultural affiliation, the archaeologist shall immediately notify the lead agencies. The agencies shall consult on a finding of eligibility and implement appropriate treatment measures, if the find is determined to be a Historical Resource under CEQA, as defined in Section 15064.5(a) of the CEQA Guidelines or a historic property under Section 106 NHPA, if applicable. Work may not resume within the no-work radius until the lead agencies, through consultation as appropriate, determine that the site either: 1) is not a Historical Resource under CEQA or a Historic Property under Section 106; or 2) that the treatment measures have been completed to their satisfaction.
 - If the find includes human remains, or remains that are potentially human, they shall ensure reasonable protection measures are taken to protect the discovery from disturbance (Assembly Bill [AB] 2641). The archaeologist shall notify the Riverside County Coroner (per Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code). The provisions of Section 7050.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.98 of the California PRC, and AB 2641 will be implemented. If the coroner determines the remains are Native American and not the result of a crime scene, the coroner will notify the NAHC, which then will designate a Native American Most Likely Descendant (MLD) for the Project (Section 5097.98 of the PRC). The designated MLD will have 48 hours from the time access to the property is granted to make recommendations concerning treatment of the remains. If the landowner does not agree with the recommendations of the MLD, the NAHC can mediate (Section 5097.94 of the PRC). If no agreement is reached, the landowner must rebury the remains where they will not be further disturbed (Section 5097.98 of the PRC). This will also include either recording the site with the NAHC or the appropriate Information Center; using an open space or conservation zoning designation or easement; or recording a reinterment document with the county in which the property is located (AB 2641). Work may not resume within the no-work radius until the lead agencies, through consultation as appropriate, determine that the treatment measures have been completed to their satisfaction.

The lead agency is responsible for ensuring compliance with these mitigation measures. Section 15097 of Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7 of CEQA, *Mitigation Monitoring or Reporting*, “The public agency shall adopt a program for monitoring or reporting on the revisions which it has required in the Project and the measures it has imposed to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects. A public agency may delegate reporting or monitoring responsibilities to another public agency or to a private entity which

accepts the delegation; however, until mitigation measures have been completed the lead agency remains responsible for ensuring that implementation of the mitigation measures occurs in accordance with the program.”

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these records search and field visit results for the Project. If you have any questions or would like to discuss these issues in further detail, please contact me at ssifuentes@ecorpconsulting.com or by phone at (909) 307-0046.

Sincerely,



Sonia Sifuentes, M.S., RPA
Senior Archaeologist

Enclosures:

Appendix A – CHRIS Records Search Request

Appendix B – NAHC Correspondence

REFERENCES

ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2019. *Cultural Resources Investigation for the Pacific First Cactus and Nason Project in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California*. Prepared for the City of Moreno Valley, California. August.

APPENDIX A

CHRIS Records Search Request

From: [Steven Wintergerst](#)
To: [Eastern Information Center \(eickw@ucr.edu\)](mailto:eickw@ucr.edu)
Cc: [Robert Cunningham](#)
Subject: Record Search Request for 2019-146 Cactus and Nason project
Date: Thursday, December 22, 2022 2:12:29 PM
Attachments: [CHRIS Data Request 2019-146.pdf](#)
[Cactus_RS_\(V1\)_DRAFT01.pdf](#)

Hello, I would like to request a records search for the Cactus and Nason project in Moreno Valley, Riverside County. Attached, please find the CHRIS request form and a USGS map of the location area. For this record search, we are requesting Option "B".

CHRIS Data Request Form

ACCESS AND USE AGREEMENT NO.: 34.00 IC FILE NO.: _____

To: Eastern Information Center

Print Name: Robert James Cunningham Date: 12/22/2022

Affiliation: ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Address: 215 North Fifth Street

City: Redlands State: CA Zip: 92374

Phone: (909) 307-0046 Fax: (909) 307-0056 Email: rjcunningham@ecorpconsulting.com

Billing Address (if different than above): _____

Billing Email: _____ Billing Phone: _____

Project Name / Reference: Pacific First Cactus and Nason

Project Street Address: 27106 Cactus Avenue Moreno Valley CA 92555

County or Counties: Riverside

Township/Range/UTMs: SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 of Sec 15 T3S R 3W SBBM. 11S 482414/3752302

USGS 7.5' Quad(s): _____

PRIORITY RESPONSE (Additional Fee): yes / no

TOTAL FEE NOT TO EXCEED: \$ 1,000.00

(If blank, the Information Center will contact you if the fee is expected to exceed \$1,000.00)

Special Instructions:

Forward results to Robert James Cunningham at rjcunningham@ecorpconsulting.com

Information Center Use Only

Date of CHRIS Data Provided for this Request: _____

Confidential Data Included in Response: yes / no

Notes: _____

CHRIS Data Request Form

Mark the request form as needed. Attach a PDF of your project area (with the radius if applicable) mapped on a 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle to scale 1:24000 ratio 1:1 neither enlarged nor reduced and include a shapefile of your project area, if available. Shapefiles are the current CHRIS standard for submitting digital spatial data for your project area or radius. **Check with the appropriate IC for current availability of digital data products.**

- Documents will be provided in PDF format. Paper copies will only be provided if PDFs are not available at the time of the request or under specially arranged circumstances.
- Location information will be provided as a digital map product (Custom Maps or GIS data) unless the area has not yet been digitized. In such circumstances, the IC may provide hand drawn maps.
- In addition to the \$150/hr. staff time fee, client will be charged the Custom Map fee when GIS is required to complete the request [e.g., a map printout or map image/PDF is requested and no GIS Data is requested, or an electronic product is requested (derived from GIS data) but no mapping is requested].

For product fees, see the CHRIS IC Fee Structure on the [OHP website](#).

1. Map Format Choice:

Select One: Custom GIS Maps GIS Data Custom GIS Maps and GIS Data No Maps

Any selection below left unmarked will be considered a "no. "

Location Information:

	Within project area	Within <u>1</u> mi. radius
ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Locations¹	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
NON-ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Locations	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Report Locations¹	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
"Other" Report Locations²	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>

3. Database Information:

(contact the IC for product examples, or visit the [SSJVIC website](#) for examples)

	Within project area	Within <u>1</u> mi. radius
ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Database¹		
List (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Detail (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Excel Spreadsheet	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
NON-ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Database		
List (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Detail (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Excel Spreadsheet	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Report Database¹		
List (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Detail (PDF format)	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Excel Spreadsheet	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Include "Other" Reports ²	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>

4. Document PDFs (paper copy only upon request):

	Within project area	Within <u>1</u> mi. radius
ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Records ¹	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
NON-ARCHAEOLOGICAL Resource Records	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Reports ¹	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
"Other" Reports ²	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>

CHRIS Data Request Form

5. Eligibility Listings and Documentation:

Within project area Within 1 mi. radius

OHP Built Environment Resources Directory³:

Directory listing only (Excel format)
Associated documentation⁴

yes / no
yes / no

yes / no
yes / no

OHP Archaeological Resources Directory^{1,5}:

Directory listing only (Excel format)
Associated documentation⁴

yes / no
yes / no

yes / no
yes / no

California Inventory of Historic Resources (1976):

Directory listing only (PDF format)
Associated documentation⁴

yes / no
yes / no

yes / no
yes / no

6. Additional Information:

The following sources of information may be available through the Information Center. However, several of these sources are now available on the [OHP website](#) and can be accessed directly. The Office of Historic Preservation makes no guarantees about the availability, completeness, or accuracy of the information provided through these sources. Indicate below if the Information Center should review and provide documentation (if available) of any of the following sources as part of this request.

Caltrans Bridge Survey	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Ethnographic Information	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Literature	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Maps	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Local Inventories	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
GLO and/or Rancho Plat Maps	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Shipwreck Inventory	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>
Soil Survey Maps	yes <input type="checkbox"/> / no <input type="checkbox"/>


¹ In order to receive archaeological information, requestor must meet qualifications as specified in Section III of the current version of the California Historical Resources Information System Information Center Rules of Operation Manual and be identified as an Authorized User or Conditional User under an active CHRIS Access and Use Agreement.

² "Other" Reports GIS layer consists of report study areas for which the report content is almost entirely non-fieldwork related (e.g., local/regional history, or overview) and/or for which the presentation of the study area boundary may or may not add value to a record search.

³ Provided as Excel spreadsheets with no cost for the rows; the only cost for this component is IC staff time. Includes, but not limited to, information regarding National Register of Historic Places, California Register of Historical Resources, California State Historical Landmarks, California State Points of Historical Interest, and historic building surveys. Previously known as the HRI and then as the HPD, it is now known as the Built Environment Resources Directory (BERD). The Office of Historic Preservation compiles this documentation and it is the source of the official status codes for evaluated resources.

⁴ Associated documentation will vary by resource. Contact the IC for further details.

⁵ Provided as Excel spreadsheets with no cost for the rows; the only cost for this component is IC staff time. Previously known as the Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility, now it is known as the Archaeological Resources Directory (ARD). The Office of Historic Preservation compiles this documentation and it is the source of the official status codes for evaluated resources.



Map Features

- Project Area
- 1-Mile Buffer

Riverside County, California
 § 09, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22,
 T.#003 S, R.003 W, SBBM
 San Jacinto Nuevo Y Potrero Land Grant
 Latitude (NAD83): 33.911170
 Longitude (NAD83): -117.190286

Scale in Feet

0 1,000 2,000

Sunnymead, CA (1978)
 CA 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle
 US Geological Survey

Location: N:\2019\2019-146 Tech Studies for Cactus\MAPS\Cultural_Resources\Cactus_RS_(V1).mxd (TP) mguidry 7/16/2019

Map Date: 7/16/2019
 iService Layer Credits: Copyright © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed



APPENDIX B

NAHC Correspondence

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

January 10, 2023

Robert Cunningham
ECORP Consulting, Inc.Via Email to: rjcunningham@ecorpconsulting.com**Re: 2019-146 Pacific First Cactus and Nason Project, Riverside County**

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

A record search of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File (SLF) was completed for the information you have submitted for the above referenced project. The results were negative. However, the absence of specific site information in the SLF does not indicate the absence of cultural resources in any project area. Other sources of cultural resources should also be contacted for information regarding known and recorded sites.

Attached is a list of Native American tribes who may also have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact within the proposed project area. I suggest you contact all of those indicated; if they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge. By contacting all those listed, your organization will be better able to respond to claims of failure to consult with the appropriate tribe. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call or email to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance, we can assure that our lists contain current information.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at my email address: Andrew.Green@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Andrew Green
Cultural Resources Analyst

Attachment

CHAIRPERSON
Laura Miranda
LuiseñoVICE CHAIRPERSON
Reginald Pagaling
ChumashSECRETARY
Sara Dutschke
MiwokCOMMISSIONER
Isaac Bojorquez
Ohlone-CostanoanCOMMISSIONER
Buffy McQuillen
Yokayo Pomo, Yuki,
NomlakiCOMMISSIONER
Wayne Nelson
LuiseñoCOMMISSIONER
Stanley Rodriguez
KumeyaayCOMMISSIONER
[Vacant]COMMISSIONER
[Vacant]EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
**Raymond C.
Hitchcock**
Miwok/Nisenan**NAHC HEADQUARTERS**
1550 Harbor Boulevard
Suite 100
West Sacramento,
California 95691
(916) 373-3710
nahc@nahc.ca.gov
NAHC.ca.gov

**Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contact List
Riverside County
1/10/2023**

**Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla
Indians**

Reid Milanovich, Chairperson
5401 Dinah Shore Drive Cahuilla
Palm Springs, CA, 92264
Phone: (760) 699 - 6800
Fax: (760) 699-6919
laviles@aguacaliente.net

**Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla
and Cupeño Indians**

Ray Chapparosa, Chairperson
P.O. Box 189 Cahuilla
Warner Springs, CA, 92086-0189
Phone: (760) 782 - 0711
Fax: (760) 782-0712

**Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla
Indians**

Patricia Garcia-Plotkin, Director
5401 Dinah Shore Drive Cahuilla
Palm Springs, CA, 92264
Phone: (760) 699 - 6907
Fax: (760) 699-6924
ACBCI-THPO@aguacaliente.net

**Morongo Band of Mission
Indians**

Robert Martin, Chairperson
12700 Pumarra Road Cahuilla
Banning, CA, 92220 Serrano
Phone: (951) 755 - 5110
Fax: (951) 755-5177
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov

**Augustine Band of Cahuilla
Mission Indians**

Amanda Vance, Chairperson
84-001 Avenue 54 Cahuilla
Coachella, CA, 92236
Phone: (760) 398 - 4722
Fax: (760) 369-7161
hhaines@augustinetribe.com

**Morongo Band of Mission
Indians**

Ann Brierty, THPO
12700 Pumarra Road Cahuilla
Banning, CA, 92220 Serrano
Phone: (951) 755 - 5259
Fax: (951) 572-6004
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov

**Cabazon Band of Mission
Indians**

Doug Welmas, Chairperson
84-245 Indio Springs Parkway Cahuilla
Indio, CA, 92203
Phone: (760) 342 - 2593
Fax: (760) 347-7880
jstapp@cabazonindians-nsn.gov

Pala Band of Mission Indians

Shasta Gaughen, Tribal Historic
Preservation Officer
PMB 50, 35008 Pala Temecula Cupeno
Rd. Luiseno
Pala, CA, 92059
Phone: (760) 891 - 3515
Fax: (760) 742-3189
sgaughen@palatribe.com

Cahuilla Band of Indians

Daniel Salgado, Chairperson
52701 U.S. Highway 371 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 5549
Fax: (951) 763-2808
Chairman@cahuilla.net

Pechanga Band of Indians

Mark Macarro, Chairperson
P.O. Box 1477 Luiseno
Temecula, CA, 92593
Phone: (951) 770 - 6000
Fax: (951) 695-1778
epreston@pechanga-nsn.gov

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed 2019-146 Pacific First Cactus and Nason Project, Riverside County.

**Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contact List
Riverside County
1/10/2023**

Pechanga Band of Indians

Paul Macarro, Cultural Resources
Coordinator
P.O. Box 1477 Luiseno
Temecula, CA, 92593
Phone: (951) 770 - 6306
Fax: (951) 506-9491
pmacarro@pechanga-nsn.gov

***Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma
Reservation***

Manfred Scott, Acting Chairman
Kw'ts'an Cultural Committee
P.O. Box 1899 Quechan
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (928) 750 - 2516
scottmanfred@yahoo.com

***Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma
Reservation***

Jill McCormick, Historic
Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 1899 Quechan
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (760) 572 - 2423
historicpreservation@quechantribe.com

Ramona Band of Cahuilla

Joseph Hamilton, Chairperson
P.O. Box 391670 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 4105
Fax: (951) 763-4325
admin@ramona-nsn.gov

Ramona Band of Cahuilla

John Gomez, Environmental
Coordinator
P. O. Box 391670 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 4105
Fax: (951) 763-4325
jgomez@ramona-nsn.gov

Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians

Bo Mazzetti, Chairperson
One Government Center Lane Luiseno
Valley Center, CA, 92082
Phone: (760) 749 - 1051
Fax: (760) 749-5144
bomazzetti@aol.com

Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians

Cheryl Madrigal, Tribal Historic
Preservation Officer
One Government Center Lane Luiseno
Valley Center, CA, 92082
Phone: (760) 297 - 2635
crd@rincon-nsn.gov

***San Manuel Band of Mission
Indians***

Jessica Mauck, Director of
Cultural Resources
26569 Community Center Drive Serrano
Highland, CA, 92346
Phone: (909) 864 - 8933
Jessica.Mauck@sanmanuel-nsn.gov

***Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla
Indians***

Lovina Redner, Tribal Chair
P.O. Box 391820 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 659 - 2700
Fax: (951) 659-2228
lsaul@santarosa-nsn.gov

***Serrano Nation of Mission
Indians***

Mark Cochrane, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343 Serrano
Patton, CA, 92369
Phone: (909) 528 - 9032
serranonation1@gmail.com

***Serrano Nation of Mission
Indians***

Wayne Walker, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343 Serrano
Patton, CA, 92369
Phone: (253) 370 - 0167
serranonation1@gmail.com

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed 2019-146 Pacific First Cactus and Nason Project, Riverside County.

Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contact List
Riverside County
1/10/2023

***Soboba Band of Luiseno
Indians***

Isaiah Vivanco, Chairperson
P. O. Box 487
San Jacinto, CA, 92581
Phone: (951) 654 - 5544
Fax: (951) 654-4198
ivivanco@soboba-nsn.gov

Cahuilla
Luiseno

***Soboba Band of Luiseno
Indians***

Joseph Ontiveros, Cultural
Resource Department
P.O. BOX 487
San Jacinto, CA, 92581
Phone: (951) 663 - 5279
Fax: (951) 654-4198
jontiveros@soboba-nsn.gov

Cahuilla
Luiseno

***Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla
Indians***

Cultural Committee,
P.O. Box 1160
Thermal, CA, 92274
Phone: (760) 397 - 0300
Fax: (760) 397-8146
Cultural-
Committee@torresmartinez-
nsn.gov

Cahuilla

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed 2019-146 Pacific First Cactus and Nason Project, Riverside County.