

**NOTICE AND CALL OF SPECIAL MEETING  
OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORENO VALLEY**

**CITY OF MORENO VALLEY  
2004 TRANSPORTATION SUMMIT**

**MAY 4, 2004 – 2:00 P.M.**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that a special meeting of the City Council of the City of Moreno Valley will be held on May 4, 2004 commencing at 2:00 p.m., in the City Council Chamber, City Hall, located at 14177 Frederick Street, Moreno Valley, California.

Said special meeting shall be for the purpose of:

**AGENDA**

- **CALL TO ORDER**
- **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- **INVOCATION**
- **ROLL CALL**
- **INTRODUCTIONS**
  
- **PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS ON THE AGENDA UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL**

There is a three-minute time limit per person. Please complete and submit a LAVENDER speaker slip to the Bailiff. All remarks and questions shall be addressed to the presiding officer or to the City Council and not to any individual Council member, staff member or other person.

**The City Circulation Element of the General Plan recognizes that efficient regional access is essential to Moreno Valley's economic development and quality of life. The summit is intended to update City Council members regarding the regional transportation issues, policies and programs that most significantly impact our City.**

**TOPICS OF DISCUSSION:**

1. The Regional Access Issue – City Staff

The County's Community and Environmental Transportation Acceptability Process (CETAP) study has provided important information on how future travel demand could affect accessibility to Moreno Valley and the mobility of our residents and workers. Staff will discuss some of the important findings from the traffic analysis.

2. State Highways – Bill Mosby, Caltrans District 8

Mr. Mosby will discuss programmed Caltrans improvements that improve access to Moreno Valley, such as, Box Springs segment of SR-60 / I-215, SR 60 HOV lanes, and SR 60/21/91 interchange improvements.

3. The Regional Transportation Plan – Hasan Ikrata, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has recently approved an update of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Mr. Ikrata will provide an overview of the plan, and elements that affect our City.

4. March Field – Phillip Rizzo, March Joint Powers Authority (MJPA).

March Field will continue to be a major force affecting the future of Moreno Valley. Mr. Rizzo will discuss March Joint Powers Authority (MJPA) development plans, with focus on access improvements that are contemplated in the future.

5. Transit Programs – Larry Rubio, Riverside Transit Authority (RTA)

Riverside Transit Authority (RTA) is the primary provider of public transportation in Western Riverside County. Larry Rubio will discuss RTA's long-range plans for enhancing public transportation including development of transit centers, and a "bus rapid transit" system. Also, RTA is the recipient of 2 % of all Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) revenues. Mr. Rubio will discuss how these funds will be used to enhance service.

6. Riverside County – George Johnson, Riverside County Transportation Department

In Western Riverside County, large areas of land continue to be developed under the jurisdiction of County government. As a result, the County is the primary generator of Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) revenues (Moreno Valley is second). Many future transportation improvements will be completed under the jurisdiction of County government. George Johnson will discuss the projects that are of greatest importance to Moreno Valley, and how the County will coordinate with local government to complete these improvements.

7. Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) – Rick Bishop, Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG)

Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) is the administrator of the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) program. Rick Bishop will provide an overview of the program. He will discuss how funds are allocated for local and regional projects, and identify the projects that TUMF will fund which most directly benefit Moreno Valley.

8. Moreno Valley / San Bernardino Corridor – Ty Schuilling, San Bernardino Association of Governments (SANBAG)

Many of the projects to improve access and mobility for Moreno Valley will entail the shared participation of our neighbors to the north in San Bernardino County. Ty Schuilling will discuss the status of planning and development of the projects of shared importance.

9. Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) - Eric Haley, Executive Director

RCTC is the lead transportation agency for Riverside County overseeing numerous activities that profoundly affect Moreno Valley. Eric Haley will discuss CETAP (Community and Environmental Transportation Acceptability Process), Measure A, the Cajalco Ramona Corridor Study, and other projects of importance to our city.

10. Other transportation issues

11. Council Discussion

This is an opportunity to interact with our guests, staff and consultants to discuss transportation issues. As a basis for discussion, Council is encouraged to review the draft text from the City circulation element that endeavors to identify regional priorities for the city (see attached).

**ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION**

**CLOSED SESSION – 6:00 P.M.**

(or as soon thereafter as the Special Meeting is adjourned)

A Closed Session of the City Council of the City of Moreno Valley will be held in the City Manager's Conference Room, Second floor, City Hall. The City Council will meet in Closed Session to confer with its legal counsel regarding the following matter(s) and any additional matter(s) publicly and orally announced by the City Attorney in the Council Chamber at the time of convening the Closed Session. The Closed Session will be held pursuant to Government Code:

1) SECTION 54956.9(a) – CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION

- a) Case: *Leaders in Community Alternatives, Inc. v. City of Moreno Valley, et al.*  
Court: United States District Court, Central District of California  
Case No.: EDCV-02-1207 VAP SGLx
- b) Case: *ADAD LLC v. City of Moreno Valley, et al.*  
Court: Riverside Superior Court  
Case No.: RIC 374644
- c) Case: *W. B. Walton v. City of Moreno Valley, et al.*  
Court: Riverside Superior Court  
Case No.: RIC 377795
- d) Case: *Angel Mendoza, by and through his guardian ad litem, Eulalia Mendoza v. City of Moreno Valley and Moreno Valley Animal Services, and Does 1 through 50, Inclusive*  
Court: Riverside Superior Court  
Case No.: RIC 396599
- e) Case: *Suarez v. City of Moreno Valley*  
Court: Riverside Superior Court  
Case No.: RIC 396766
- f) Case: *Lauren Lynn Baisden, by Dolores Baisden her guardian ad litem v. City of Moreno Valley*  
Court: Riverside Superior Court  
Case No.: 404900

- g) Case: *Public Entity Risk Management Authority v. City of Moreno Valley, City of Palm Desert, City of Simi Valley, City of Palm Springs, City of La Quinta, City of Hemet, Coachella Valley Association of Governments, City of Rolling Hills, and DOES 1-50*  
 Court: Riverside Superior Court  
 Case No.: INC 038382
- h) Case: *Citizens to Enforce CEQA v. City of Moreno Valley, et al.*  
 Court: Riverside Superior Court  
 Case No.: 403114
- i) Case: *City of Moreno Valley v. Southern California Edison Before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission*  
 Docket Nos.: ER04-383-000, ER04-384-000, ER04-384-001, ER04-385-000, and ER04-386-000.

2) SECTION 54956.9(b)(1) – CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION  
 Number of Cases: (2)

3) SECTION 54956.9(c) – CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – INITIATION OF LITIGATION  
 Number of Cases: (2)

4) SECTION 54957.6 – LABOR NEGOTIATIONS

a) Agency Representative: Gene Rogers  
 Employee Organization: MVCEA

b) Agency Representative: Gene Rogers  
 Employee Organization: MVMA

5) SECTION 54956.8 - CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR

Property: APNS 481-130-024 & 481-130-025  
 North side of Fir Avenue between Heacock Street and Indian Street  
 Apparent Owner: J. Massey Inc.  
 Agency Negotiator: John Strickler

- **REPORT OF ACTION FROM CLOSED SESSION BY CITY ATTORNEY, IF ANY**
- **ADJOURNMENT**

Text from City of Moreno Valley Draft Circulation Element

Concerning Regional Transportation Priorities

*(Excerpted from draft Circulation Element 4/25/04)*

**Objective 5.4**

Maximize efficiency of the circulation system by using appropriate policies and standards to design, locate and size roadways.

- 5.4.1 Coordinate with Caltrans and the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) to identify and protect ultimate rights-of-way, including those for freeways, regional arterial projects, transit, bikeways, and interchange expansion.
- 5.4.2 Coordinate with Caltrans and RCTC regarding the local development and integration of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) consistent with the principles and recommendations of the Inland Empire Regional ITS Architecture Project.
- 5.7.1 Work with property owners, in cooperation with RCTC, to reserve rights-of-way for potential Community and Environmental Transportation Acceptability Process (CETAP) corridors through site design, dedication, and land acquisition, as appropriate.

**Objective 5.5**

Support development of a ground access system to March Inland Port in accordance with its development plan as a major cargo airport.

**Policy:**

- 5.5.1 Ensure that City arterials that provide access to and from March Inland Port are properly designed to accommodate projected traffic volumes, including truck traffic.

Ensure that traffic routes to March Inland Port are planned to minimize impacts to City residential communities.

## **Objective 5.7**

Encourage development of an efficient public transportation system for the entire community.

### **Policies:**

- 5.7.1 Support the development of high-speed transit linkages, or express routes, that would benefit the citizens and employers of Moreno Valley.
- 5.7.2 Support the efforts of the March Joint Powers Authority in its pursuit of a Transit Center.
- 5.7.3 Encourage public transportation opportunities that address the particular needs of transit dependent individuals in the City such as senior citizens, the disabled and low -income residents.
- 5.7.4 Ensure that all new developments make adequate provision for bus stops and turnout areas for both public transit and school bus service.
- 5.7.5 Continue on-going coordination with transit authorities toward the expansion of transit facilities into newly developed areas.
- 5.7.6 Support the development of high-speed transit linkages, or express routes, that would benefit the citizens and employers of Moreno Valley.
- 5.7.7 Support the efforts of the March Joint Powers Authority in its pursuit of a Transit Center.

## CIRCULATION ELEMENT PROGRAMS

- 5-3 Develop a comprehensive strategy to ensure full funding of the circulation system. The strategy will include the DIF, TUMF, and other funding sources that may be available to the City. In addition, the creation of benefit assessment districts, and road and bridge fee districts may be considered where appropriate.
- 5-4 Develop a multi-year transportation infrastructure improvement program that, to the extent feasible, phases the construction of new projects in advance of new development.
- 5-5 The above referenced program will prioritize circulation improvement projects to be funded from DIF, TUMF and other sources. Prioritization to consider the following factors:
- Traffic safety;
  - Congestion relief;
  - Access to new development;
  - Equitable benefit.
- 5-6 Support regional projects that improve access to Moreno Valley. Examples of specific ongoing projects that should be supported include:
- CETAP Cajalco alignment and extension to State Route 241 in Orange County;
  - CETAP Moreno Valley to San Bernardino alternative alignments including Reche Canyon Road / Reche Vista Road alignment and the Pigeon Pass Road to Pepper Avenue alignment;
  - TUMF Backbone Network projects to widen Alessandro Boulevard and Van Buren Boulevard;
  - Measure A projects to widen SR-60 through the Badlands, widen Interstate 215 (I-215) from Riverside interchange to Interstate 10, and extension of San Jacinto commuter rail line;
  - Construction of commuter rail stations in Highgrove, and at the intersection of Alessandro at I-215;
  - Construction of HOV ramp connector from westbound SR-60 to south bound I-215;
  - Widen SR-60/I-215 from Moreno Valley interchange to Riverside interchange.
- 5-7 Work with RCTC, Caltrans, County of Riverside, adjacent jurisdictions and other affected agencies to plan and develop a multimodal transportation system.



- 5-8 Coordinate with Caltrans to redesign and reconstruct the SR-60 interchanges with Day Street, Perris Boulevard, Nason Street, Moreno Beach Drive, Redlands Boulevard, Theodore Street and Gilman Springs Road.